1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF JARDEEN BROTHERS, INC., 4 PCHB No. 139 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, vs. 6 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

This is an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty assessed for an alleged violation of Section 9.02 of Regulation I of the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency pursuant to RCW 70.94 by respondent.

The matter came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board at 10:00 a.m., September 15, 1972 at 818 South Yakima Avenue, Tacoma, Washington. James T. Sheehy acted as the hearing officer in behalf of the Board. Appellant was represented by Harold Jardeen, job superintendent for Jardeen Brothers, Inc. Respondent was represented by its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin, Esq. of the firm of Burkey, Marsico, Rovai and

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

McGoffin of Tacoma, Washington. Transcript of the proceeding was prepared by Richard Reinertsen, an Olympia court reporter.

Witnesses were sworn and testified.

On the basis of testimony heard, the Pollution Control Hearings
Board prepared Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order which
were submitted to the appellant and respondent on November 13, 1972.

No objections or exceptions to the Proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order
having been received, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes and enters
the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

11 I.

The appellant was the prime contractor on a school building under construction at Southeast 240th Street and 180th Avenue Southeast in Kent, Washington on March 31, 1972 when a fire was observed on the site.

II.

A fire of some extent was observed by an air pollution inspector of respondent at the above-mentioned site at 5:30 p.m., March 31, 1972. The fire contained scrap lumber, plastic pipe, paint cans and other waste materials normally associated with a construction project.

III.

Upon the request of the air pollution inspector, two pieces of firefighting apparatus from the King County Fire Protection District No. 37 in Kent, Washington were dispatched to the scene and extinguished the fire.

IV.

The contractor did not have a valid fire permit and at the time of

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

-δ

the fire no burning was permitted in this fire district, F.P.D. No. 37. v. 2 Appellant was served with a notice of violation and a civil penalty 3 of \$50.00 was imposed. 4 From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to 5 these 6 CONCLUSIONS 7 I. 8 Appellant, as prime contractor, was responsible for the actions of 9 its employees and those of its subcontractors. 10 II. 11 The waste material in the pile must have originated from the 12 activities of the subcontractors as well as those of the prime 3 contractor. 14 III. 15 The appellant was in violation of Section 9.02 of respondent's 16 Regulation I. 17 IV. 18 The civil penalty, one-fifth of the allowable maximum penalty of 19 \$250.00, was reasonable for an unauthorized burning. 20 Based on these Findings and Conclusions, the Pollution Control 21 Hearings Board makes the following: 22 ORDER 23 The civil penalty of \$50.00 imposed by the respondent is sustained. 24 95 46 FINDINGS OF FACT, 3 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

	DONE at Olympia, Washington this $2nd$ day of January, 1973.
1	
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	Wett Wordward
4	WALT WOODWARD, Chairman
5	Times V. Skeelin
6	JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member
7	Walle Whell.
8	MATTHEW W. HILL, Member
9	
10	
11	
12	
3	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2 2	
23	
24	
⁹ 5	
4 6	·
27	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 4